29: Sept: 1920.

IMy dear Mr. Starmer,

Many thanks for your letter and I am on the job morning, and I sincerely hope you will receive it in time.

now over his April prices is iniquitous. A child can see the hollow of his hand, having three of their bells at his foundry, so it.

Nages have gone up certainly since April but not to that extent, and there is very little new material included in his estimate, so he cannot place much ap of this increase to that account.

His total estimate now stands as follows :-

The two new smaller to make ten, and fittings		2	-	S	-	d.
framework, carriage and fixing	,	318	-	0	-	0
Becasting old 1,2,3,4 and 6, new clappers and ironwork for the recast bells and adjusting the rest of the existing fittings. Tuning 5,7 and 8. Including taking down,						
carriage and refixing Nett.		439		0	-	0
	٤	757	-	0	-	0

There is no doubt Johnston was quoting very low at first in order to secure the job and now, having secured it, he wants

29: Sept: 1920.

wants to recoup by increasing his prices - smart business, what !

Taking Johnston's specifications as bases we could quote £530. for the two new bells and the recommended by him, instead of his £757. Between ourselves the £530. is a low price but still we could do the job at that.

To go on a stage further I cannot grasp why Johnston has thought fit to omit the recasting of 5.7 and 8, as these three bells are just as bad as any of them, as you will find if you test them.

What ought to be done would be to recret the while lot and rehang in a new frame. That I think would you will be at the obvious, when you see the job, but of course it is no use having the whole job done thoroughly if Johnston gets it!

I have not goue into detail with our specification as it could be an exact gaps copy of Johnston's, and would include such refixing of clock hammers as may be necessary.

It shows in this way the comparative prices better. He is also on his old dodge of reducing weights in his recasting.

I do not quite follow why he calls the present tenor 27-owts. It cannot be more than 25%, but perhaps it is regulal to be 27-owts.

By Johnston's weights the present eight weigh

29: Sept: 1920.

weigh 111%-cwts.

Recasting all into peal of ten same diameter tenor and slightly flatter, 25%-cwts., total 100-cwts., with new framework, fittings, taking down, fixing, to., would be £1,450. Much more value for money than spending £750 on G. & J's scheme.

I think I have answered all but if there is anything lacking you will be able to fill it in to the best advantage, and we will abide by what you say.

Wishing you luck and awaiting further news,

Yours very sincerely,

N. W. Starmer, Bsq., 52, Warwick Park, TUNBRIDGE WELLS.

## ... APRINTED AND THE PARTY OF T

filt-cut, at cit. may	170 - 0,- 0
	36 - 0 - 0
Fixing it done at ease time	
Republic present 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5	
Figures above from 3. 1 J.	
But. for constitue present 1, 2, 3, 4 5 of eight	
Gr./By 52 " # 210.	690 - 0 - 0
	170 - 0 - 0
Taking down the shole real	
Carr. to and from	
Less allosance for old bells already	

## ROCHESTER CATHEDRAL.

Estimate for recasting the whole peal.

G. & J's weights as 1112-outs.; into peal of ten, total 100-outs. Compare Portsmouth.

Or./By	100-awts. at £1	5.		1,500	-	0	-	0
				390				
	Framework Fittings			471 264				
	Clearing tower			55	-	0	-	0
	Fixing			155	-	0	-	0
	Carriage		say	65				
			2	1,421	-	0	-	0